

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/420,321	10/18/1999	LARRY A. WESTERMAN	KLR:7146.044	2633	
47915	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
	CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL, LLP		LUU,	SY D	
601 SW SECOND AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174	- <del></del> ;	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/420,321	WESTERMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sy D Luu	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Se</u>	ntember 2003 and 22 O	otobor 2002
	action is non-final.	<u>Clober 2002</u> .
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 39-41</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not	received.
attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	(s)/Mail Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendments filed September 11, 2003 and October 22, 2002.

- 2. Claims 1-37 and 39-41 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 7, 12, 17, 22, 28, 34, are independent claims. Claims 1, 7, 12, 17, 22, 28, and 34 were amended. This action is made Non-Final.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-37 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sciammarella et al. ("Sciammarella", US # 6,320,599) in view of Kreegar (US # 5,396,590) and Microsoft Publisher 98 Screendumps ("MS Publisher").

As per claims 1 and 5, Sciammarella teaches a computer implemented GUI on a personal computer (fig. 1a), comprising a manipulator (col. 3, lines 10-11; cursor 116) for enabling alteration of a scale of an object (col. 2, lines 15-23), by altering a dimension of a graphic representation of an active region (position indicating marks 124), the dimension being approximately equal to a limit (fig. 3; col. 3, lines 25-44; zoom-in and zoom-out marks 122, 126).

Sciammarella does not specifically teach the manipulator to interact directly with the graphic representation to enable alteration. Kreegar teaches direct manipulation of graphic

objects using shape control tools (fig. 3, col. 5, line 56 – col. 6, line 18). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Kreegar's direct manipulation of objects in order to allow a user to select and manipulate a graphic object in different ways without having to activate different modes for different manipulations.

The method of Sciammarella-Kreegar does not specifically teach the size of said graphic representation to be free from changing while said scale is altering. MS Publisher teaches a method for changing the dimension of a graphic representation of an active region wherein said graphic representation is free from changing while alteration of a scale of an object (figs. 1-4; image 20 is enlarged while an object such as door 22 is also enlarged). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine MS Publisher's method of changing the dimension of the active region while altering the scale of an object contained therein so that the ratio aspect of the whole image and objects contained therein are properly maintained.

As per claim 2, Sciammarella teaches that the scale of the object is minified when the dimension is approximately equal to a maximum (figs. 5a-5b; col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 11).

As per claim 3, Sciammarella teaches that the scale of the object is magnified when the dimension is approximately equal to a minimum (figs 4a-4b; col. 3, line 45-64).

As per claim 4, Sciammarella teaches the dimension of the graphic representation is a diagonal of a rectangle (fig. 3; marks 124).

As per claim 6, Sciammarella teaches a handheld electronic device (col. 2, lines 13-14).

Claims 7-9 are similar in scope to claims 1-3 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Onit. 2174

Application/Control Number: 09/420,321

As per claim 10, MS Publisher teaches enabling a user to move said active region relative to said information area by a second interaction of said manipulator and said graphic representation (figs. 5-6).

As per claim 11, Sciammarella discloses interaction via a mouse (col. 1, lines 19-21).

Claims 12 and 15-16 are similar in scope to claims 1-3, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 13-14 are similar in scope to claims 10-11 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is similar in scope to claims 10, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 18-19 are similar in scope to claims 2-3, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 20-21 are similar in scope to claim 11, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 22-23 are similar in scope to claims 17 and 4 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 24-25 are similar in scope to claims 20-21, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 26-27 are similar in scope to claims 2-3, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 28-33 are similar in scope to claims 7-10 and 5-6 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/420,321 Page 5

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 34-37 and 39-41 are similar in scope to claims 28, 10, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 11, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

## Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SY D. LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER